

Corporate Risk Register

Lead Strategic Director: **Ian Leivesley**

Risk Management Coordinator: **Lynn P Ramsden**

Initial Register Completion Date: **November 2011**

Register Review Date: **March 2018**

Progress update: **September 2018**

Table of Contents

1. Risk - Delivery of Services to Vulnerable Adults	3
2. Risk – Safeguarding Children and Adults	4
3. Risk – Capacity and Resilience	6
4. Risk – Budget Reductions	7
5. Risk – Keeping Halton Community Safe	9
6. Risk – Changes to Governance Arrangements	11
7. Risk – Mersey Gateway	12
8. Risk – Community Expectations	14
9. Risk - Partnerships	16
10. Risk – Fraud	17
11. Risk - Funding and Income Generation	19
Appendix 'A' – Scoring Mechanism	22

DELIVERY OF SERVICES TO VULNERABLE ADULTS

Item	Identified risk	Impact (Severity)	Likelihood (Probability)	Unmitigated Risk Score (I x L)	Council Priority Area(s)
1	Failure to deliver quality services to vulnerable adults could negatively affect their health and wellbeing	4	4	16	A Healthy Halton / A Safer Halton


Risk control measures	Residual score with measures implemented			Timescale / Review frequency	Lead Officer/s
	Impact (Severity)	Likelihood (Probability)	Mitigated Risk Score (I x L)		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Effectively allocating and using available finances and resources in the delivery of services • Integration of Health and Social Care with a view to improving the outcomes for people using the services • Transformation of provider markets so that responsive and sustainable markets in adult social care can be developed and supported • Delivery of prevention and early intervention to vulnerable adults • Effective use of alternative funding streams and community assets • Joint approach with Health to ensure efficient quality assurance 	4	3	12	6 monthly	Strategic Director - People (Milorad Vasic)

SAFEGUARDING CHILDREN AND ADULTS

Item	Identified risk	Impact (Severity)	Likelihood (Probability)	Unmitigated Risk Score (I x L)	Council Priority Area(s)
2	Failure to support and protect children and adults could adversely impact on their health, safety and opportunity to reach their potential	4	4	16	A Healthy Halton / Employment, Learning and Skills / Children and Young People / A Safer Halton

Risk control measures	Residual score with measures implemented			Timescale / Review frequency	Lead Officer/s
	Impact (Severity)	Likelihood (Probability)	Mitigated Risk Score (I x L)		

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Halton's Children's and Adult's Safeguarding Boards fully operational with appropriate resources and are operating within statutory guidance and towards identified priorities Representatives from the Children's and Adult's Safeguarding Boards to work in partnership through attending corresponding boards Children's and Adult's Safeguarding Board's to work with strategic groups within the Borough to ensure accountability and effectiveness of safeguarding Services regularly audit Children's and Adult's cases for quality and consistency of practices Comprehensive suite of performance reports for Children's and Adults are reviewed at least monthly and compared with regional and national benchmarks 	4	3	12	6 monthly	Strategic Director - People (Milorad Vasic)
---	---	---	----	-----------	--

<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Progress reports for Children’s and Adults are taken to the Board and Members for their attention• In order to provide a multi-agency response to the needs of children at risk of or being sexually exploited, multi agency team initiated overseen by project board• Improving the health and wellbeing of children and adults through early intervention and treatment services delivered in house and externally via a range of providers and partners• Providing efficient safeguards to support vulnerable adults who lack capacity and require the support of the Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards and the Court of Protection.					
--	--	--	---	--	--

CAPACITY AND RESILIENCE

Item	Identified risk	Impact (Severity)	Likelihood (Probability)	Unmitigated Risk Score (I x L)	Council Priority Area(s)
3	Inability of the Council to sustain the delivery of services and respond to emergency situations in line with Council Priorities as a result of the impact of budget cuts	4	4	16	Corporate Effectiveness and Efficiency

Risk control measures	Residual score with measures implemented			Timescale / Review frequency	Lead Officer/s
	Impact (Severity)	Likelihood (Probability)	Mitigated Risk Score (I x L)		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Maintaining a supportive working environment through shared service organisational ethos, pride and value across Members, staff, management, Unions and partners Focusing delivery of performance on the council's corporate vision and key strategic priorities leading to a clearly understood and shared set of priorities Emphasis on management and leadership standards with recognition of the challenges faced by the Authority leading to managers who are able to direct, inform, develop and support staff Maintaining a workforce that are skilled, informed, flexible and competent in order to ensure that they deliver efficient and effective services 	4	3	12	6 monthly	All Strategic Directors

BUDGET REDUCTIONS

Item	Identified risk	Impact ¹ (Severity)	Likelihood (Probability)	Unmitigated Risk Score (I x L)	Council Priority Area(s)
4	A significant reduction in the Council's funding from Government grant and/or locally raised business rates/council tax, leads to an inability to deliver the Council's key service priorities, especially those services essential for the support of the most vulnerable members of the community	4	4	16	Corporate Efficiency Effectiveness and

Risk control measures	Residual score with measures implemented			Timescale / Review frequency	Lead Officer/s
	Impact (Severity)	Likelihood (Probability)	Mitigated Risk Score (I x L)		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Planning is undertaken to compare available financial resources with spending requirements over the medium term (3 years), resulting in preparation of the Medium Term Financial Strategy which allows overall budget gaps to be identified at an early stage and appropriate plans put in place to tackle them Proposed developments regarding the future funding of Local Government nationally are monitored, to assess the potential impact for the Council and take account of this within the Medium Term Strategy Effective Business Planning to ensure that appropriate resources are directed towards the Councils key strategic priorities 	4	3	12	6 monthly	Strategic Director - Enterprise Community & Resources (Ian Leivesley)

¹ For scoring mechanism see Appendix 'A'

<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Budget setting is aligned to the annual Business Planning Cycle in order to ensure that the value of financial resources are maximised• Budget Risk Register works in conjunction with the Budget Setting Cycle to ensure that emerging budget risks are identified together with relevant mitigating measures• Exploring the potential for collaboration, shared services and partnership working with neighbouring Local Authorities					
--	--	--	--	--	--

KEEPING HALTON COMMUNITY SAFE

Item	Identified risk	Impact ² (Severity)	Likelihood (Probability)	Unmitigated Risk Score (I x L)	Council Priority Area(s)
5	A failure to monitor and appropriately manage the risks created by global, national and local events, and how these might impact on local community tensions, could potentially lead to a threat to security and have an adverse effect on the stability of Halton's communities.	4	3	12	A Healthy Halton / Environment and Regeneration / A Safer Halton

Risk control measures	Residual score with measures implemented			Timescale / Review frequency	Lead Officer/s
	Impact (Severity)	Likelihood (Probability)	Mitigated Risk Score (I x L)		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Safer Halton Partnership (SHP) involves joint working, clear communications and information sharing across partner agencies, including emergency services, and assists to implement the Sustainable Community Strategy to ensure that there is community cohesion with safe and secure neighbourhood environments Multi agency Community Safety team that addresses anti-social behaviour and crime thus supporting the SHP agenda The Channel Panel is a multi-agency group which provides support for those who are vulnerable to be drawn into terrorism through a programme of early intervention and diversion 	4	2	8	6 monthly	Chief Executive (David Parr)

² For scoring mechanism see Appendix 'A'

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Emergency Planning team have developed and tested Multi-Agency Response plans in place for all risks within the borough • To respond to 'Major Accident' cloudburst incidents at Upper Tier COMAH sites; Emergency Planning Team have tested and validated Emergency COMAH Plans for all 9 sites • Emergency Planning Team work in partnership with the Cheshire Resilience Forum to provide an integrated approach for dealing with emergencies across Cheshire • Emergency Planning Team work with cross border organisations/agencies within Merseyside and the Liverpool City Region regarding cross border risks and resilience planning • Critical Incident Management procedures, including 'lockdown', have been developed, communicated and tested for Council buildings and schools • Security surveys conducted for main council buildings and schools 					
--	--	--	--	--	--

CHANGES TO GOVERNMENT ARRANGEMENTS

Item	Identified risk	Impact (Severity)	Likelihood (Probability)	Unmitigated Risk Score (I x L)	Council Priority Area(s)
6	Changes to Government arrangements and other public sector organisations could potentially lead to a deterioration of local services	4	4	16	A Healthy Halton / Employment, learning and skills / Children and Young People / A Safer Halton / Corporate effectiveness and business efficiency

Risk control measures	Residual score with measures implemented			Timescale / Review frequency	Lead Officer/s
	Impact (Severity)	Likelihood (Probability)	Mitigated Risk Score (I x L)		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ensuring that both Members and officers from the Council plays an active role in the Combined Authority for the Liverpool City Region The potential impact on resources of schools moving to Academy status is being monitored termly through early engagement to gain an understanding of the level of risk 	4	2	8	6 monthly	Chief Executive (David Parr)

MERSEY GATEWAY

Item	Identified risk	Impact (Severity)	Likelihood (Probability)	Unmitigated Risk Score (I x L)	Council Priority Area(s)
7	Lack of effective management of and adherence to governance arrangements / contractual requirements or disrupted journeys could lead to increased project costs. In addition these could also lead to adverse publicity and reputational risks to the Council	4	3	12	Environment and Regeneration / Employment, Learning and Skills

Risk control measures	Residual score with measures implemented			Timescale / Review frequency	Lead Officer/s
	Impact (Severity)	Likelihood (Probability)	Mitigated Risk Score (I x L)		
<u>Demand Management Participation Agreement (DMPA)</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Base line is realistic and achievable • Agreed contract is designed to incentivise an increase in volumes of traffic • Mersey Gateway Crossings Board has a role in managing the DMPA • Effective publicity around speed and reliability of bridge 	4	2	8	6 monthly	Strategic Director - Enterprise Community & Resources (Ian Leivesley)
<u>Tolling Risk</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In order to mitigate the risk of the project not delivering sufficient toll revenue each year to meet project costs, a liquidity reserve of £19m has been established jointly by the Department for Transport and Halton Borough Council (through borrowing funded from future toll 					

revenues). If necessary the reserve will be topped-up periodically by undertaking further borrowing.

Conclusion of Construction Phase to Subsequent Operating Phase

- Dedicated company (Mersey Gateway Crossings Board Ltd) now established, with suitably experienced staff and directors, both Executive and Non-executive, and supported by class leading professional advisers. The relationship between Council and MGCB is detailed within a Governance Agreement
- Routine project assurance monitored through external bodies including specialist non-executive directors and advisers on the Board of Directors of MGCB, external Gateway Reviews (4Ps) Department for Transport and HM Treasury scrutiny at specific project milestones
- Delivery within the Funding Framework agreed with Government that is reviewed at regular intervals and managed through the Mersey Gateway Crossings Board’s Risk Register, which is reviewed regularly by both the Audit Committee and the Board of Directors
- Maintenance of effective relationships with Government Departments (as co funders for MG) maintained by both Department for Transport and HM Treasury being represented on the Board of Directors of MGCB

COMMUNITY EXPECTATIONS

Item	Identified risk	Impact (Severity)	Likelihood (Probability)	Unmitigated Risk Score (I x L)	Council Priority Area(s)
8	Failure to effectively realise community expectations could lead to damage to the Authorities reputation and credibility resulting in negative views towards the transparency of the decision making process	4	3	12	Corporate Effectiveness and Efficiency

Risk control measures	Residual score with measures implemented			Timescale / Review frequency	Lead Officer/s
	Impact (Severity)	Likelihood (Probability)	Mitigated Risk Score (I x L)		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consultation and community engagement embedded in the partnership constitution • Utilising recognised mediums to identify, communicate and coordinate community expectations and priorities. These include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Surveys; Customer analysis; On line services; Local and social media; Target consultation exercises for specific projects; Service user groups; Elected member surgeries; and Other meetings • Conducting Equality Impact Assessments with new and revised Policies 	3	2	6	6 monthly	All Strategic Directors

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Honesty and integrity by the Authority in communicating with the public having regard to reducing budgets including promoting a self-help agenda • Any decisions to cease or amend service provision that has a significant impact on communities; early warning of intended actions through direct engagement with relevant communities to invite views 					
---	--	--	--	--	--

PARTNERSHIPS

Item	Identified risk	Impact (Severity)	Likelihood (Probability)	Unmitigated Risk Score (I x L)	Council Priority Area(s)
9	Ineffective and poorly controlled partnerships with statutory and non-statutory organisations will lead to a lack of accountability and ineffective use of resources resulting in a failure to meet the needs of and improve outcomes for local communities. In particular partnership work could be at risk where funding streams have discontinued	3	4	12	A Healthy Halton / Employment Learning and Skills / Children and Young People / A Safer Halton / Environment and Regeneration

Risk control measures	Residual score with measures implemented			Timescale / Review frequency	Lead Officer/s
	Impact (Severity)	Likelihood (Probability)	Mitigated Risk Score (I x L)		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Having efficient and effective arrangements with external partners through a shared strategic vision and action plans enables and influences partners to deliver at local levels • Maintaining financial probity with the 'pool' budgets, as appropriate, with partners through effective governance arrangements • Engagement with communities and partners on service priorities in order to identify and design alternative forms of delivery, as appropriate, maximising opportunities for joint working • Collaborating with partners to identify and address community issues 	2	2	4	6 monthly	Chief Executive (David Parr)

FRAUD

Item	Identified risk	Impact (Severity)	Likelihood (Probability)	Unmitigated Risk Score (I x L)	Council Priority Area(s)
10	Inadequate control systems lead to an increase in fraud and financial loss	3	3	9	Corporate Effectiveness and Efficiency

Risk control measures	Residual score with measures implemented			Timescale / Review frequency	Lead Officer/s
	Impact (Severity)	Likelihood (Probability)	Mitigated Risk Score (I x L)		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Business Efficiency Board monitors and reviews the adequacy of the Council's anti-fraud and corruption policies and arrangements <p>External</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Authority is an active participant in the National Fraud Initiative Dedicated Fraud Investigation officers who focus on internal and external fraud The Council runs regular fraud awareness campaigns encouraging members of the public and employees to raise any concerns about fraud and corruption The Council collaborates with other local authorities across the region and shares best practice in regard to tackling fraud and corruption <p>Internal</p> <p>The Council maintains an effective system of internal control, which includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Relevant policies and systems, e.g. Procurement Standing Orders, Finance Standing Orders, etc. Rigorous pre-employment checks of new employees 	3	2	6	6 monthly	Strategic Director – Enterprise, Community & Resources (Ian Leivesley)

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Whistleblowing arrangements • Anti-Fraud, Bribery & Corruption Strategy • Fraud Response Plan • Fraud Sanction and Prosecution Policy • Fraud and bribery awareness training • A continuous internal audit of the Council's systems and services 					
---	--	--	--	--	--

FUNDING AND INCOME GENERATION

Item	Identified risk	Impact (Severity)	Likelihood (Probability)	Unmitigated Risk Score (I x L)	Council Priority Area(s)
11	Failure to maximise and identify funding opportunities in light of government cuts resulting in a potential challenge of the Councils capacity to delivery its priorities	3	3	9	A Healthy Halton / Employment, Learning and Skills / Children and Young People / A Safer Halton

Risk control measures	Residual score with measures implemented			Timescale / Review frequency	Lead Officer/s
	Impact (Severity)	Likelihood (Probability)	Mitigated Risk Score (I x L)		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Continuing to identify funding streams and income generating options through horizon scanning, alternative untapped funding opportunities and shared partnerships with 3rd sector, private sector, and other public sector bodies During the budget setting process Directorates identify and prioritise funding requirements biannually including ensuring that there are systems to capture and report when funding comes to an end Corporate External Funding Team reports to Management Team twice a year to highlight services the Team can offer and meets with Departments to identify funding requirements; regularly signposts Council services to specific funding streams Commercially focussed through establishing trading and income generation possibilities in order to protect and effectively use funds; pilot Charging Policy for bid-writing to be introduced September 2018 for 12 months 	2	2	4	6 monthly	All Strategic Directors

Version Control Record

Version	Date Created	Date of Amendment:	Nature of Amendment	Date of Next Review:
1.0	13.10.11			
1.1		28.8.12	Progress Commentary	
2.0		13.3.13	Reviewed and updated	13.10.13
2.1		20.9.13	Progress Commentary	
3.0		31.3.14	Reviewed and updated in line with the Corporate Peer Challenge and the revised Business Planning Process and associated guidance notes	13.10.14
3.1		15.9.14	Progress Commentary	
4.0		10.4.15	Reviewed and updated	12.10.15
4.1		10.9.15	Progress Commentary	
5.0		01.4.16	Reviewed and updated	01.4.17
5.1		10.9.16	Progress Commentary	
6.0		01.4.17	Reviewed and updated	01.9.17

6.1		10.9.17	Progress Commentary	
7.0		01.4.18	Reviewed and updated	01.9.18
7.1		01.9.18	Progress Commentary	

Scoring Mechanism

Once the business risks are identified and analysed they are scored by multiplying the impact and likelihood. They will then establish a final score (or significance rating) for that risk:

Risk Score	Overall Rating
12-16	High
6-9	Medium
1-4	Low

Those that have been placed in the red boxes are the primary or **Top Risks** followed by the **medium** and **low** risks.

Measures to control the risks are identified from the following options;

1. Reducing the likelihood; or
2. Reducing the impact; or
3. Changing the consequences of the risks by,
 - Avoidance
 - Reduction
 - Retention
 - Transference; or
4. Devising Contingencies, i.e. Business Continuity Planning

The risks are scored again to establish the effects the measures have once implemented on reducing the risks and identify a score rating for residual risks.